

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,228	07/02/2007	Renaud Le Devehat	FMCE-P143	9362	
Henry C Query	7590 12/06/201 v Ir	0	EXAM	IINER	
504 S Pierce A	venue		WILSON, LEE D		
Wheaton, IL 6	0187		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/06/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/585,228	LE DEVEHAT, RENAUD		
·	· ·		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LEE D. WILSON	3727		

earned patent term adjustment.	See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		

	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	I		
		LEE D. WILSON	3727	I		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
Period fo	or Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY Chelver Is LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SUC (5) MCNTHS from the making date of this communication. MCNTHS from the making date of this communication. The communication of the comm	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12,14 and 15 is/are pending in the a	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4-12,14 and 15 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).			
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(a) Mail Do				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	atent Application			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date 7/2/07.	6) Other:				

- Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/CS)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/2/07.
- Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/585,228 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

 Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract uses the terms
"means" and "said. The abstract needs to be a separate sheet of paper. Correction is
required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1-2, 4-12, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yokota (6736384).

Yokata discloses the claimed invention as recited in the claims. Yokota discloses a device having an actuation bolt (5), an arm (1), a clamping jaw (6), bore (4),

motor (18 which provide fluid pressure source), threadedly bore (5a), a nut (63), 3 torque limitation means (37 with a pusher 39) with spring (23) and rollings bearing balls (34). There is no real sturcture for number of toothed wheels therefore element 3b with the threads which are teeth and the wheels are seen to be the spaces in between teeth.

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The 892 form discloses prior art being made of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MONICA CARTER can be reached on 571-272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ldw

/LEE D WILSON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727

December 2, 2010